IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BLUEFIELD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. CRIMINAL NO. 1:13-00016

ROBIN BOLTON

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

In Bluefield, on April 16, 2015, came the defendant, in person and by counsel, Debra Kilgore; came the United States by John L. File, Assistant United States Attorney; and came Senior United States Probation Officer Teresa F. King, for a hearing on the petition to revoke the defendant's term of supervised release.

The court informed the defendant of the alleged violations contained in the petition to revoke the term of supervised release, filed on March 11, 2015. The court found that the defendant had received written notice of all the alleged violations as contained in the petition and that the evidence against the defendant had been disclosed. The court advised the defendant that, pursuant to Rule 32.1(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, she has the right to a hearing and assistance of counsel before her term of supervised release could be revoked. Defendant did not contest the allegations as set forth in the petition. Whereupon the court found that the charges were established by a preponderance of the evidence.

The court found that the Guideline imprisonment range for the revocation of supervised release upon such grounds was eight to fourteen months. The court further found that the Guideline ranges issued by the Sentencing Commission with respect to revocation of probation and supervised release are policy statements only and are not binding on the court. Thus, the court stated that the relevant statutory provision is 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), which provides a maximum term of imprisonment of twenty-four months. Neither party objected to the Guideline range and statutory penalty as determined by the court.

The court found that there was sufficient information before the court on which to sentence the defendant without updating the presentence investigation report.

After giving counsel for both parties and the defendant an opportunity to speak regarding the matter of disposition, the court ORDERED, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, that the defendant's term of supervised release be revoked, and that she be incarcerated until May 28, 2015. The court imposed a term of supervised release of twelve (12) months following the defendant's period of incarceration. During her period of supervised release, defendant shall comply with the standard conditions of supervised release adopted by this court, as well as any previously-imposed special conditions. The court also imposed the additional special conditions of supervised release:

- Defendant is to reside at Secor, Inc., a halfway house in Lebanon, Virginia, for a period of twelve months;
 and
- 2. The defendant shall adhere to all rules and regulations of Secor, Inc. while she is a resident there.

The court also ordered defendant to pay the unpaid portion of the previously-imposed special assessment in the amount of \$100.00. The special assessment is due in full immediately. If not paid immediately, the defendant shall pay any remaining balance in payments of \$10 per month during the term of supervised release with payments to commence 30 days after release from incarceration.

In considering the proper term of imprisonment to be imposed, the court considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(2)(D), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7), as well as the Chapter 7 policy statements and concluded that the sentence imposed is an appropriate sanction for the defendant's breach of trust, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant. The court further concluded that the sentence imposed will provide adequate deterrence to criminal conduct and protect the public from further crimes of the defendant.

The defendant was informed of her right to appeal. The

defendant was further informed that in order to initiate such an appeal, a Notice of Appeal must be filed in this court within fourteen (14) days. The defendant was advised that if she wishes to appeal and cannot afford to hire counsel to represent her on appeal, the court will appoint counsel for her. The defendant was further advised that if she so requests, the Clerk of Court will prepare and file a Notice of Appeal on her behalf.

The defendant was remanded to the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to counsel of record, the United States Marshal for the Southern District of West Virginia, and the Probation Department of this court.

It is SO ORDERED this 28th day of April, 2015.

ENTER:

David A. Faber

Senior United States District Judge